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Abstract

A central goal of the Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine is the development of clinical protocols for managing common medical problems that may impact breastfeeding success. These protocols serve only as guidelines for the care of breastfeeding mothers and infants and do not delineate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as standards of medical care. Variations in treatment may be appropriate according to the needs of an individual patient.

Background

When a cleft lip (CL) occurs, the lip is not contiguous, and when a cleft palate (CP) occurs, there is communication between the oral and nasal cavities (see Fig. 1 for a completely formed lip and palate). CL may involve the lip only; lip and alveolus; or lip, alveolus, and a notch in the hard palate. The notch in the hard palate may extend all the way to the incisive foramen (Fig. 2). Similarly, a CP may involve only the uvula (e.g., bifid uvula), the uvula and soft palate, or extend through both the hard and soft palates (Fig. 3). In a complete cleft of hard and soft palates, there is no bone or muscle separating the oral and nasal cavities. A CP may be submucosal and not immediately detected intraorally if there are subtle or no corresponding clinical signs or symptoms. Therefore, it is essential that health professionals check for palatal clefting (both overt and submucosal) on initial presentation, by visually inspecting and palpating the palate. This should be done using a tongue depressor and flashlight to allow for inspection of the entire palate, including the uvula. An oral examination is of relevance if an infant is presenting with feeding difficulties or not gaining weight.

Surgical cleft repairs can involve multiple procedures, depending on the nature and extension of the cleft (i.e., unilateral CL versus bilateral cleft lip and palate [CLP]). The primary repair(s) are generally completed within 18 months of life, with the lip being repaired before the palate at 3–9 months of age.3,4

Incidence

The worldwide prevalence of CL and/or CP (CL/P) ranges from 0.8 to 2.7 cases per 1,000 live births.5,6 There are differences in incidence rates across racial groups and geographical locations, with the lowest reported incidence among populations of African (~ 0.5/1,000)6–8 and European descent (~ 1/1,000 births)9 and higher incidence among Native American (~ 3.5/1,000) and Asian (~ 1.7/1,000) populations.6,7

Although reports vary considerably, it is estimated that out of the total number of infants with CL/P, ~ 50% have combined cleft lip and palate (CLP) (Fig. 4), 30% have isolated CP, and 20% have isolated CL; CL extending to include the alveolus occurs in ~ 5% of cases.9 Clefts are more commonly unilateral, but can also occur bilaterally.10 Approximately 30% of cases are part of identified syndromes or multiple congenital anomaly disorders, such as 22q11 deletion syndrome, Van der Woude syndrome, or Pierre Robin sequence.6,7 The remaining 70% are nonsyndromic and occur in isolation of identified syndromes.6,7

Breastfeeding and CL/P

In these guidelines, breastfeeding refers to direct placement of the infant to the breast for feeding, and breast milk feeding refers to delivery of breast milk to the infant through bottle, cup, spoon, or any other means except the breast. Babies use suction to breastfeed successfully. The ability to generate suction is necessary for attachment to the breast, maintenance of a stable feeding position, and, together with the let-down reflex, milk extraction. Normally, when babies are feeding, their lips flange firmly against the areola, sealing the oral cavity anteriorly. The soft palate rises up to contact the pharyngeal walls and seal the oral cavity posteriorly. As the tongue and jaw drop during sucking, the oral cavity increases in
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size, and negative pressure is generated, drawing milk from the breast. Suction and wave-like movement of the tongue help milk transfer and delivery during breastfeeding.

There is a relationship between the size and type of cleft, maturity of the infant, and amount of oral pressure generated during feeding. Younger infants with larger clefts can be expected to generate less oral pressure. Most infants with isolated CL are often able to successfully breastfeed because they can generate suction and negative pressure. This is achieved when the nipple is compressed between the tongue and maxilla leading to milk being expelled into the oral cavity. Some infants with small soft palate clefts can generate adequate negative pressure, but others with larger soft and/or hard palate clefts may not.

Recommendations for clinical practice

Based on the reviewed evidence, the following recommendations are made:

A. Encourage parents to breastfeed and provide breast milk when possible

1. Parents should be educated about the protective benefits of breast milk. Evidence suggests that breastfeeding protects against acute otitis media, which is highly prevalent in this population (level 2). Breast milk feeding has also been shown to have long-term health and developmental benefits above that of artificial breast milk substitutes for both infants and their breastfeeding parents. Breast milk feeding (through cup, spoon, bottle, syringe, etc.) should be promoted in preference to artificial breast milk substitutes if breastfeeding is not possible. Bottles that facilitate milk flow may be necessary for short- or long-term use if compensatory techniques are not sufficient for growth. These bottles may have specially designed teats, be squeezable, or use one-way valves to control milk flow. There are various brands available. Parents should be provided with anticipatory guidance regarding effective techniques for milk expression, alongside the quantity of milk needed and storage methods.

2. Parents of infants with CL/P should be advised of expected feeding outcomes based on the infant’s cleft type and what has been documented in the available literature. In general, infants with CP or CLP have difficulty creating suction and negative pressure because the oral cavity cannot be adequately separated from the nasal cavity during feeding. For these infants, negative consequences may include fatigue during breastfeeding, prolonged feeding times, nasal regurgitation, reflux, insufficient milk transfer, and impaired growth and nutrition.

The literature describing breastfeeding outcomes in infants with CL/P is limited. Available evidence is anecdotal and sometimes contradictory, making it challenging to develop appropriate recommendations. Recommendations are outlined below, and answers to frequently asked questions are detailed in Appendix A1.
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3. There is moderate evidence to suggest that infants with CL may be able to generate sufficient suction\(^1\) (level 4), and descriptive reports suggest that these infants are often able to breastfeed successfully\(^3\)–\(^5\) (levels 4–5). There is moderate evidence that infants with CP or CLP have difficulty generating sufficient intraoral suction\(^6\) (level 2) and may have inefficient sucking patterns\(^7\) (level 3) compared with noncleft infants. Overall, infants with CP or CLP are observed to have lower success rates for breastfeeding than infants with CL or no cleft. This applies even after surgical repair\(^3\) (level 3). Nonetheless, breastfeeding attempts may still be beneficial for maintaining milk supply if a mother is also expressing breast milk.\(^15,16\) Breastfeeding may also provide comfort and bonding opportunities for infants and mothers, while allowing infants to experience feeding from the breast.\(^40,41\)

4. Evidence suggests that breastfeeding can commence/recommence immediately after CL repair\(^3\) (levels 2–3). Breastfeeding can commence/recommence 1 day after CP repair without complication to the wound.\(^4\) In a survey of surgeons regarding postoperative care after palatoplasty, two-thirds of surgeons allowed mothers to breastfeed immediately after surgery\(^43\) (level 4). However, as cleft repairs do not occur immediately after birth, infants may require additional support to be taught how to breastfeed with their newly repaired clefts. Therefore, parents should be counseled that alternative means of feeding may still be required postsurgically to meet growth and nutritional goals.\(^35\) Personal and social supports are also important during this time.\(^31,32\)

B. Provide timely assessment and support

1. Parental education and supports should be provided in a timely manner. Surveys have indicated that parents of a child with CL, CLP, or CP desire more instruction on feeding challenges as early as possible; this commences in the antenatal period and should be ongoing\(^3\) (level 4). Involving partners and other caregivers in the feeding process is also recommended.\(^31\)

2. Several studies have suggested that there are benefits from having access to a health professional who specializes in CL/P and breastfeeding, such as a clinical nurse specialist or lactation specialist (including International Board Certified Lactation Consultants [IBCLC]), during the newborn and later periods. Specialists can determine the feasibility of breastfeeding and advise about managing milk supply and expressing for supplemental feeds. Early advice is key, as mothers may be encouraged to initiate milk expression within the first few hours of birth.\(^44\) Specialists can also assist with suitable supportive techniques (outlined in the “Implement Strategies to Support Breastfeeding” section).\(^31,33,45\)

It is important to consider the size and location of the infant’s cleft, breast anatomy, the parent’s wishes, and previous experience with breastfeeding.

3. Families may benefit from peer support around breastfeeding or breast milk feeding found through local support groups and associations, such as Wide Smiles,\(^46\) in addition to routine referral to breastfeeding support groups.

4. An infant’s hydration and weight gain should be monitored while a feeding method is being established. If breast milk feeding alone is inadequate, supplemental feeding should be implemented or increased if indicated (see “ABM clinical protocol #3: Hospital guidelines for the use of supplementary feedings in the healthy term breastfed neonate,

---

FIG. 3. Clefts of the palate. This figure illustrates three presentations of a CP. (A) cleft of the soft palate, (B) cleft of the hard and soft palate, and (C) a wide U-shaped cleft, typical of PRS. (Illustration courtesy of Aiden Farrow, copyright 2018). CP, cleft palate; PRS, Pierre Robin sequence.

FIG. 4. Unilateral and bilateral CLP. This illustration shows (A) unilateral CL (left), (C) accompanying (A) unilateral CP (left), (B) bilateral complete cleft of the lip and gum with the columella present, and (D) accompanying (B) complete cleft of the palate. (Illustration courtesy of Aiden Farrow, copyright 2018). CLP, cleft lip and palate.
revised 2017). Infants with CL/P may require supplemental feeds for adequate growth and nutrition20,38 (level 4). Evidence from a single study demonstrated that additional maternal support by a clinical nurse specialist can both improve weight gain and facilitate early referral to appropriate services38 (level 4).

5. When CL/P occurs as part of a syndrome/sequence, the potential for breastfeeding should be assessed on a case-by-case basis, taking into account any additional features of the syndrome that may impact breastfeeding success.

6. If a palatal prosthesis is used for orthopedic alignment before surgery, caution should be taken in advising parents to use such a device to facilitate breastfeeding. Rather, parents should be informed that the device likely will not significantly increase breastfeeding efficiency or effectiveness (levels 1–4)49,50.

C. Implement strategies to support breastfeeding

1. Modified breastfeeding positions may increase the efficiency and effectiveness of breastfeeding. There are many recommendations about physical positioning of the infant to support breastfeeding. However, they are supported by weak evidence (clinical experience or expert opinion). Specific recommendations that require future evaluation include:

   - For infants with CL.
     - The infant should be held so that the CL is oriented toward the top of the breast50,51 (level 4). For example, an infant with a right CL may feed more efficiently in a cross-cradle position at the right breast and a “football/twin style” position (i.e., the body of the infant positioned alongside the mother, rather than across the mother’s lap, with the infant’s shoulders higher than his or her body) at the left breast (Fig. 5).

   - For bilateral CL, a “face on” straddle position may be more effective than other breastfeeding positions51 (level 4).

   - For infants with CP or CLP.
     - Positioning should be semi-upright to reduce nasal regurgitation and flow of breast milk into the eustachian tubes (Fig. 6)51–55 (level 4).
     - A “football/twin style” position may be more effective than a cross-cradle position54,55 (level 4).

2. In addition to modifying positioning of the infant, parents can implement the following strategies. These strategies are supported by similarly weak evidence (clinical experience or expert opinion):

   - For infants with CL.
     - The parent may occlude the CL with a thumb or finger51,52 and/or support the infant’s cheeks to decrease the width of the cleft and increase closure around the nipple56 (level 4).

   - For infants with CP or CLP.
     - For infants with CP, it may be useful to position the breast toward the “greater segment” of the palate. That is, the side of the palate that has the most intact bone. This may facilitate better generation of negative pressure and thus milk extraction, while preventing the nipple from being pushed into the cleft site57 (level 4).

![FIG. 5. “Football Hold” feeding position. This illustration shows the “Football Hold” breastfeeding position that may be used for infants with CL, CP, or CLP. (Illustration courtesy of Children’s Minnesota Hospital).](image)

![FIG. 6. Supporting the infant’s chin during breastfeeding. This illustration demonstrates how a mother may use her free hand to support an infant’s chin and/or breast to maintain a semi-upright position. This can help to stabilize the jaw during breastfeeding and ensure that the breast remains in the infant’s mouth. (Illustration courtesy of Children’s Minnesota Hospital).](image)
If the cleft is large, some experts suggest that the breast be positioned downward to stop the nipple being pushed into the cleft51 (level 4).

Some experts suggest supporting the infant’s chin to stabilize the jaw during sucking52 and/or supporting the breast so that it remains in the infant’s mouth (Fig. 6)56,58 (level 4).

Mothers may need to manually express breast milk into the baby’s mouth to compensate for absent succion and compression and to stimulate the let-down reflex53 (level 4).

Recommendations for future research

The most pressing issue for health care professionals working with parents who wish to breastfeed their infants with CL/P is the lack of evidence on which to base clinical recommendations. Well-designed data-driven investigations are imperative to generate high-level evidence and inform future guidelines. Future research is needed, covering the areas of feeding rates, management strategies, economic outcomes for breastfeeding infants with clefts, and health and developmental outcomes for infants with CL/P (e.g., communication, parent satisfaction, and rates of upper respiratory tract infections). Furthermore, investigators must clearly describe their sample of infants and intervention techniques so that the research outcomes can be generalized. Differentiation between cleft types and sizes is particularly important in this cohort.
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Appendix

Appendix A1. Frequently Asked Questions

Breastfeeding infants with cleft lip (CL), cleft palate (CP), or CL and CP (CLP)

Except where noted, the literature reviewed relates to infants with nonsyndromic CL/P.

1. Can infants with CL breastfeed successfully?
   There was no strong evidence with regard to breastfeeding infants with CL. There was moderate evidence that babies with CL can create suction during feeding. Descriptive studies have demonstrated successful breastfeeding rates ranging from 0% to 40%. A1, A2

2. Can infants with CP breastfeed successfully?
   There was no strong evidence with regard to breastfeeding infants with CP. There was moderate evidence that infants with CP do not create adequate suction when bottle feeding. Some infants with clefts of the soft palate only may be able to create suction, although this is not usually the case. Descriptive studies and a systematic review indicated that breastfeeding success for infants with CP was much lower than for infants with CL. There was weak evidence to suggest that partial breastfeeding (with supplementation) can be achieved and that the size and location of the cleft are determining factors for breastfeeding success. A5, A17, A18

3. Can infants with cleft lip and palate (CLP) breastfeed successfully?
   There was no strong evidence with regard to breastfeeding infants with CLP. There was moderate evidence that infants with CLP are unable to create suction when fed using a bottle. Descriptive studies suggested breastfeeding success rates ranging from 0% to 40%. Supportive and compensatory strategies are recommended by experts to increase breastfeeding success. A6, A8, A17, A18, A21

4. Is there evidence to guide assessment and management of breastfeeding in infants with CL/P?
   There is moderate evidence that lactation education is important to facilitate successful breastfeeding in infants with CL/P. This is especially true for infants with CLP. The remaining evidence is weak and focuses on (a) areas for monitoring, (b) recommendations for supplementation, (c) compensatory and supportive strategies, and (d) the importance of counseling to encourage breast milk feeding. (level 4).

5. Is there evidence that palatal obturators facilitate breastfeeding success with infants with CLP or CP?
   Breastfeeding outcomes may be affected by the use of feeding plates (which obtrurate some of the cleft and attempt to "normalize" the oral cavity for feeding), or presurgical orthopedic devices (prostheses used to reposition the cleft segments before surgery). There is weak evidence to suggest that palatal obturators do not facilitate feeding or weight gain in breastfed babies with CLP and that they do not improve the infant’s ability to efficiently bottle feed. There was moderate evidence that obturators do not facilitate suction during bottle feeding. (level 3). This is because obturators do not enable complete closure of the soft palate against the walls of the throat during feeding. Contradictory evidence exists, supporting the use of obturators to facilitate breastfeeding in infants with CP or CLP. There is weak evidence that breastfed babies with CLP and that they do not improve academic outcomes in individuals with clefts.

6. Is there evidence for additional benefits of breastfeeding for infants with CL/P compared with the non-cleft population?
   Several moderate to weak studies exist with the majority of evidence representing expert opinion. It is well accepted that breastfeeding and breast milk feeding convey positive benefits to both mother and infant. With regard to infants with CP, there was moderate evidence that feeding with breast milk protects against otitis media. These infants are more prone to otitis media than the general population because of the abnormal soft palate musculature. There was moderate evidence that breast milk can promote intellectual development and academic outcomes in individuals with clefts. In addition, experts have suggested that breastfeeding facilitates the development of oral facial musculature and speech.

7. Is there evidence to indicate when it is safe to commence/recommence breastfeeding after lip or palate surgery?
   CL repair (cheiloplasty) is generally carried out within a few months of birth, and CP repair (palatoplasty) often takes place between 6 and 12 months of age. There are several studies that have yielded strong evidence to inform this area. There was moderate to strong evidence that it is safe to commence/recommence breastfeeding immediately after CL repair and CP repair (palatoplasty) and that there was moderate evidence for initiating breastfeeding 1 day after CP repair. There was strong evidence that breastfeeding immediately after surgery is more effective for weight gain than spoon feeding, with associated lower hospital costs. There is weak evidence that breastfeeding immediately after surgery is more effective for weight gain than spoon feeding, with associated lower hospital costs.

8. Is there evidence to indicate whether infants with CP as part of a syndrome/sequence can breastfeed?
   There are >340 syndromes in which CL/P appears. It is beyond the scope of this protocol to review and make recommendations.
recommendations for them all in detail. However, some key data are presented to guide breastfeeding practice. Moderate to weak evidence suggested that, as well as the cleft, additional oral facial anomalies associated with these syndromes (e.g., hypotonia, micrognathia, and glossophragmoses) impact feeding success.\(^{11}\) It is important to examine the influence of additional structural and functional anomalies on feeding and design individualized treatment accordingly.
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